



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084.986	02/27/2002	Homer L. Spencer	· TES12102	3508	

7590

10/22/2002

John Russell Uren, P. Eng. Suite 202 1590 Bellevue Avenue West Vancouver, BC V7V 1A7 CANADA EXAMINER

VAN, QUANG T,

ART UNIT PAPER NI, MBER

3742

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ν								
	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)									
		084,986	SPENCER, HOMER L.									
Office Action Summa	Exa	miner	Art Unit									
		ng T Van	3742									
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover street with the correspondence address eriod for Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXRIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status												
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on												
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	on is non-final.	•									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims												
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.												
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.												
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.												
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.												
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.												
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to read Application Papers	8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers											
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.												
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.												
						If approved, corrected drawings a	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
						12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).											
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:												
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.												
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No												
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).												
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.												
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cl	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application											
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.												
Attachment(s)	Attachment(s)											
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14			r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)									
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Su	mmary	Part of Paper No	o. 7								

Art Unit: 3742

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I (heating is applied by electrical induction), Species II (heating is applied by electrical resistance).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 6-9 and 13-16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

medialina A Application/Control Number: 10/084,986

Art Unit: 3742

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to the Office of Mr. John Russel Uren on October 21.

2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result

in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang T Van whose telephone number is 703-306-

9162. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 7:00Pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3463

for regular communications and 703-308-7764 for After Final communications.

October 21, 2002

Quang Van

Patent Examiner

Page 3

AU 3742

DEPOSITED AS FIRST CLASS MAIL COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. U.S.A. 20231
BOX: INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

In re the Application of: Homer L. Spencer

Serial No.:

10/084,986 Filed:

February 27, 2002 Title: IMPROVED SEALING METHOD AND APPARATUS

FOR OIL AND GAS WELLS

PLEASE DATE STAMP AND RETURN TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT Information Disclosure Statement

Mailed: October 18, 2002 Atty Docket: TES12102